DESIGN ANALYSIS OF A KEYLESS COUPLING

Kishor H.P¹, Raghu T²

¹M.tech Student,Dept. of Mechanical Engineering,Don Bosco Institute of Technology,Bangalore. India ²Asst.Professor, Dept. of Mechanical Engineering, Don Bosco Institute of Technology, Bangalore. India

Abstract: In a typical keyed shaft connection where shock or reversing loads are to be transmitted, the clearance between key and keyway required for fitting is a serious disadvantage. The impact loads, generate a continuous pounding between key and keyway. This pounding frequently leads to shaft failures. The present project work presents a Keyless coupling. The device provides an ultimate solution by incorporating all the advantages of interference fits, while eliminating mounting and removal problems. Analysis is carried using ANSYS. The obtained results are compared with standard values. The standard values are taken for RINGFEDER catalog. The keyless data is taken from RINGFEDER. Here it has made an attempt in analyzing keyless coupling. From the results it can be concluded that keyless coupling is most suitable.

Keywords: coefficient of thermal expansion, interference fit, virtual shrink, Contact surface, wedge principle.

1. INTRODUCTION

In the transmission of power by means of shafting and pulleys or gears, the common method of fastening the pulley or gear to the shaft, so that the two will rotate together, is by means of a key inserted in a keyway cut in the shaft, and extending into a corresponding keyway cut in the hub of the pulley or gear. The keyway must weaken the shaft in which it is cut. We can see that the sharp corners of the keyway and its location at one side of the shaft might weaken the shaft more than the relatively small size of the keyway would lead us to expect. In view of the very extensive use of shafts with keyways and the small amount of information available on the subject, the effect of keyways on the torsional strength of shafts. If a shaft with a pulley keyed to it is given a permanent twist, the removal of the pulley is frequently a matter of great difficulty, while if a shaft carries a sleeve or gear with a key sliding in a keyway, any permanent twist practically ruins the shaft.

Various researchers have contributed to the field of coupling .In which N L Pedersen [3] using shape optimization and simple super elliptical shape, it shown that the fatigue life of a keyway can be greatly improved with up to 50 per cent reduction in the maximum stress. Vardi and Varghese [11] proposed uniform pressure distribution between the shaft and key and the key and the hub. Fred Seely and Thomas [1] demonstrate plaster-model shown satisfactory for the determining the maximum stress in a member .This method determine high localized stress. Frederick Lee Barber [8] proposed Vibrational analysis of rotating machinery. The Vibrational spectra can be used to determine the system abnormality, the degree of misalignment. Fred R Szenasi [13] has studied the torsional oscillations of rotating devices.

2. MODELING AND ANALYSIS OF KEY COUPLING

The key and the key seat for a particular application are usually designed after the shaft diameter is specified by methods commonly used for shafting. Then with the shaft diameter as a guide, the size of the key is selected from standards which give the key size for a range of shaft diameters, the only remaining variables are the length of the key and its material. The reason for this is the assumed uniform pressure distribution between the shaft and key and the key and hub at their respective contact surfaces. Instead of the uniform contact pressure along the keyway, in the shaft there is a non-uniform

International Journal of Recent Research in Civil and Mechanical Engineering (IJRRCME)

Vol. 1, Issue 1, pp: (37-43), Month: April 2014 - September 2014, Available at: www.paperpublications.org

local contact stress distribution located at the top of the keyway in the shaft. Between the hub and the key the problem is similar.

3. SPECIFICATION OF KEY COUPLING

The shaft diameter as a guide, the size of the key is selected from standards which give the key size. Diameter of the shaft is 80 mm, with reference to diameter, key width is 22 mm and height is 14mm respectively. The material property is shown in table 1.

| Component properties | Specification |
|---------------------------|-------------------------|
| Ultimate Tensile Strength | 1100 N/mm ² |
| Shear steady load | 1560 N/mm ² |
| Varying load | 104 N/mm ² |
| Alternative load | 52 N/mm ² |
| Modulus of Elasticity | 210000 N/mm^2 |
| Poisson's Ratio | 0.3 |

TABLE 1. Material properties for 15Ni2Cr1Mo15 of case hardened steels

4. FINITE ELEMENT ANALYSIS OF KEY COUPLING

A key coupling is considered in the current study. The structural components shaft and key. Geometric modeling is carried out by using ANSYS software is shown in fig 2. Fine meshing is done to get the accurate results of contact stress. The fine mesh is done near the left side of the shaft is shown in fig 3. The stress concentration is more at the key way.



Fig. 2 Geometric configuration of key coupling



Load and Boundary conditions and loads are applied for the meshed shaft and the key. The lower surface of the shaft is constrained. The pressure load of is applied on right side of key surface. The finite element model with loads and boundary condition is shown in fig 4.



Fig. 4 Loads and boundary conditions of key coupling



International Journal of Recent Research in Civil and Mechanical Engineering (IJRRCME) Vol. 1, Issue 1, pp: (37-43), Month: April 2014 - September 2014, Available at: <u>www.paperpublications.org</u>

5. MODELING AND ANALYSIS OF KEYLESS COUPLING

In Keyless Locking Devices all operate using the simple wedge principle. An axial force is applied by either a hex nut or a series of annular screws to engage circular steel rings with mating tapers. In the case of keyless bushings, the resulting wedge action creates a radial force on the tapered rings, one of which contracts to squeeze the shaft while the other expands and presses into the component bore.

For keyless coupling standard dimension are taken from RINGFEDER catalog



Fig. 6 Keyless coupling

TABLE 2 .Standard dimension from RINGFEDER catalog [2]

| | Locking Assembly dimension | | | | | | | | | Lo | ocking scr | ews | | | | | | |
|---------|----------------------------|------|-------|--------------------------|------------------|-------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------------|------------|----------|----|-------------------|------------------------|---|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| Size | đ | т1 | D | T ₂ inches | L _{tot} | L | L ₁ | L ₂ | D ₁ | M ₇ Ib-ft | Рр | p' si | 1) | ex ₂) | Size d _G | s | M _A Ib-ft | D _N inches |
| 1 | 1.000 | +0 | 1.969 | | 1.456 | 1.220 | 1.012 | .854 | 2.205 | 323 | 40 170 | 15 070 | 5 | 7 | M 6x20 | 5 | 12.5 | 2.875 |
| 1-3/16 | 1.1875 | 0013 | 2.165 | | 1.456 | 1.220 | 1.012 | .854 | 2.441 | 385 | 33 800 | 13 650 | 5 | 7 | M 6x20 | 5 | 12.5 | 3.000 |
| 1-1/4 | 1.250 | | 2.362 | | 1.456 | 1.220 | 1.012 | .854 | 2.677 | 531 | 38 560 | 15 070 | 6 | 9 | M 6x20 | 5 | 12.5 | 3.375 |
| 1-3/8 | 1.375 | | 2.362 | - 0 | 1.456 | 1.220 | 1.012 | .854 | 2.677 | 585 | 35 055 | 15 070 | 6 | . 9 | M 6x20 | 5 | 12.5 | 3.375 |
| 1-7/16 | 1.4375 | | 2.559 | +.0018 | 1.456 | 1.220 | 1.012 | .854 | 2.874 | 620 | 33 495 | 13 935 | 6 | 10 | M 6x20 | 5 | 12.5 | 3.625 |
| 1-1/2 | 1.500 | +0 | 2.559 | 1 | 1.456 | 1.220 | 1.012 | .854 | 2.874 | 647 | 32 100 | 13 935 | 6 | 10 | M 6x20 | 5 | 12.5 | 3.625 |
| 1-5/8 | 1.625 | 0016 | 2.953 | | 1.811 | 1.496 | 1.193 | .996 | 3.267 | 1 2 3 4 | 43 870 | 19 055 | 6 | 9 | M 8x25 | 6 | 30 | 4,750 |
| 1-3/4 | 1.750 | | 2.953 | Y | 1.811 | 1.496 | 1.193 | .996 | 3.267 | 1 329 | 40 740 | 19 055 | 6 | 9 | M 8x25 | 6 | 30 | 4.750 |
| 1-7/8 | 1.875 | | 3.150 | | 1.811 | 1.496 | 1.193 | .996 | 3.464 | 1 4 2 6 | 38 070 | 17 915 | 6 | 9 | M 8x25 | 6 | 30 | 4.875 |
| 1-15/16 | 1.9375 | Y | 3.150 | | 1.811 | 1.496 | 1.193 | .996 | 3.464 | 1 473 | 36 840 | 17 915 | 6 | 9 | M 8x25 | 6 | 30 | 4.875 |
| 2 | 2.000 | | 3.150 | | 1.811 | 1.496 | 1.193 | .996 | 3.464 | 1 521 | 35 690 | 17 915 | 6 | 9 | M 8x25 | 6 | 30 | 4.875 |
| 2-1/8 | 2.125 | | 3.346 | | 1.811 | 1.496 | 1.193 | .996 | 3.740 | 1 803 | 39 125 | 19 625 | 7 | 10 | M 8x25 | 6 | 30 | 5.500 |
| 2-3/16 | 2.1875 | | 3.346 | | 1.811 | 1.496 | 1.193 | .996 | 3.740 | 1 856 | 38 005 | 19 625 | 7 | 10 | M 8x25 | 6 | 30 | 5.500 |
| 2-1/4 | 2.250 | | 3.543 | | 1.811 | 1.496 | 1.193 | .996 | 3.937 | 1 908 | 36 875 | 18 485 | 7 | 10 | M 8x25 | 6 | 30 | 5.500 |
| 2-3/8 | 2.375 | | 3.543 | - 0 | 1.811 | 1.496 | 1.193 | .996 | 3.937 | 2 0 1 4 | 34 935 | 18 485 | 7 | 10 | M 8x25 | 6 | 30 | 5.500 |
| 2-7/16 | 2.4375 | +0 | 3.740 | +.0022 | 1.811 | 1.496 | 1.193 | .996 | 4.134 | 2 466 | 38 965 | 20 050 | 8 | 12 | M 8x25 | 6 | 30 | 6.125 |
| 2-1/2 | 2.500 | 0018 | 3.740 | | 1.811 | 1.496 | 1.193 | .996 | 4.134 | 2 530 | 37 990 | 20 050 | 8 | 12 | M 8x25 | 6 | 30 | 6.125 |
| 2-9/16 | 2.5625 | | 3.740 | | 1.811 | 1.496 | 1.193 | .996 | 4.134 | 2 593 | 37 065 | 20 050 | 8 | 12 | M 8x25 | 6 | 30 | 6.125 |
| 2-3/4 | 2.750 | | 4.331 | | 2.362 | 1.968 | 1.590 | 1.315 | 4,724 | 3 680 | 34 770 | 18 200 | 7 | 10 | M10x35 | 8 | 60 | 6.750 |
| 2-7/8 | 2.875 | | 4.528 | | 2.362 | 1.968 | 1.590 | 1.315 | 4.921 | 3 845 | 33 300 | 16 920 | 7 | 10 | M 10x35 | 8 | 60 | 6.875 |
| 2-15/16 | 2.9375 | | 4.528 | | 2.362 | 1.968 | 1.590 | 1.315 | 4.921 | 3 929 | 32 590 | 16 920 | 7 | 10 | M10x35 | 8 | 60 | 6.875 |
| 3 | 3.000 | ¥ | 4.528 | Ŷ | 2.362 | 1.968 | 1.590 | 1.315 | 4.921 | 4 0 1 2 | 31 910 | 16 920 | 7 | 10 | M10x35 | 8 | 60 | 6.875 |
| 3-3/8 | 3.375 | | 4.921 | | 2.362 | 1.968 | 1.590 | 1.315 | 5.315 | 5 4 3 4 | 32 430 | 18 345 | 8 | 12 | M10x35 | 8 | 60 | 7.625 |
| 3-7/16 | 3.4375 | | 5.118 | | 2.362 | 1.968 | 1.590 | 1.315 | 5.512 | 5 543 | 31 810 | 17 630 | 8 | 12 | M10x35 | 8 | 60 | 7.875 |
| 3-1/2 | 3.500 | +0 | 5.118 | - 0 | 2.362 | 1.968 | 1.590 | 1.315 | 5.512 | 5 644 | 31 240 | 17 630 | 8 | 12 | M10x35 | 8 | 60 | 7.875 |
| 3-3/4 | 3.750 | 0022 | 5.315 | +.0025 | 2.362 | 1.968 | 1.590 | 1.315 | 5.709 | 7 180 | 36 450 | 21 190 | 10 | 15 | M10x35 | 8 | 60 | 9.000 |
| 3-15/16 | 3.9375 | 1 | 5.709 | 1.1 | 2.677 | 2.283 | 1.882 | 1.606 | 6.102 | 7 957 | 27 300 | 16 210 | 10 | 15 | M10x35 | 8 | 60 | 8.500 |
| 4 | 4.000 | ۲ | 5.709 | Ý | 2.677 | 2.283 | 1.882 | 1.606 | 6.102 | 8 083 | 26 870 | 16 2 10 | 10 | 15 | M10x35 | 8 | 60 | 8.500 |

6. FINITE ELEMENT ANALYSIS OF KEY COUPLING

The axi-symmetric geometry of shaft, bushes and bolt is shown in fig 7. The element type is solid 4 nodes 182. It is defined by four nodes having two degrees of freedom at each node. The element has large deflection, and large strain capabilities.





Fig. 7 Keyless coupling

Fig. 8 3D Expanded view of keyless coupling

International Journal of Recent Research in Civil and Mechanical Engineering (IJRRCME) Vol. 1, Issue 1, pp: (37-43), Month: April 2014 - September 2014, Available at: <u>www.paperpublications.org</u>

7. DESIGN VARIANTS OF KEYLESS COUPLING

Non-linear analysis is carried out with shaft lower edge is fixed. A negative temperature load is applied. This will cause the bolt material to shrink due to the coefficient of thermal expansion while the other parts remain unchanged. Thermal load is applied it result in bolt virtual shrinking and thereby giving rise in bolt load.











Design variant 2:





Fig. 12 Contact pressure in between shaft, and bush



Fig. 13 von Mises stress in bolt

Fig. 14 Contact pressure in between shaft, and bush

Design variants 4: Non linear analysis is carried out. The bolt length is increased upto end.





Fig. 16 Contact pressure in between shaft, and bush

International Journal of Recent Research in Civil and Mechanical Engineering (IJRRCME) Vol. 1, Issue 1, pp: (37-43), Month: April 2014 - September 2014, Available at: <u>www.paperpublications.org</u>

Design variant 5:





Fig. 18 Contact pressure in between shaft, and bush





Fig. 19 von Mises stress in bolt

Fig. 20 Contact pressure in between shaft, and bush

7. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Analysis is carried out for key coupling. The stress concentration in shaft is. 49.25N/mm² .For fluctuating load this can cause a crack initiation at the stress concentration point. This leads to shaft failure. In this case diameter of the shaft and weight is more. Because of this reason keyless coupling is suggested. Interestingly the diameter will be much small and same power is transmitted with decrease shaft diameter.

A non-linear analysis is carried out for keyless coupling. Negative thermal load is applied the bolt material to shrink and develops a constant pressure distribution over the shaft and near the bushes.

The standard torque from catalog **1839967.3.**

| TABLE 3. Results | of | design | variants |
|------------------|----|--------|----------|
|------------------|----|--------|----------|

| Design varaint | Temp/ _{°C} | Bolt load N | Stress N/mm ² | Contact pressure N/mm ² | TorqueN-mm |
|-------------------|---------------------|-------------|-----------------------------|--|------------|
| 1 | -17.24 | 173000.1 | 52.6 | 0.6733 | 23173.8 |
| 2 | -100 | 52180.5 | 260.3 | 1.344 | 46288.6 |
| 3 | -200 | 208722.3 | 567 | 2.624 | 924052.9 |

By varying the bolt, bush length analysis is carried out. Here there are design variant, temperature of the bolt is varied it result in, bolt virtual shrinking and thereby giving rise in bolt load. The torque value is calculated for design variants. The torque values are compared with standard values. Torque value is calculated for another set of design variant whose bolt length is increased up to bush end. The analysis is carried is carried out for each design variant and stress and pressure distribution are find out. The average pressure values are used in torque calculation. The von Mises and pressure distribution are obtained in ANSYS and tabulated in table respectively.

| Design varaint | Temp/∘ _C | Bolt load N | Stress N/mm ² | Contact pressure N/mm ² | TorqueN-mm |
|-------------------|---------------------|-------------|-----------------------------|--|------------|
| 4 | -17.24 | 173000.1 | 63.4 | 2.321 | 23173.7 |
| 5 | -100 | 52180.5 | 593.4 | 4.551 | 1566500.8 |
| 6 | -200 | 208722.3 | 633.5 | 5.455 | 1807696.9 |

TABLE 4. Results of design variants

In design variant 6 obtained torque value 1807696.9 N-mm is nearer to standard value 1839967.3 N-mm.

8. CONCLUSION

In present work key and keyless coupling are modeled and simulated using FEA approach. By using ANSYS software. Non linear analysis is carried out with appropriate material constant. Using FEA stress and pressure are obtained for different bolt load. In key coupling power transmitting is 24.4 KW at 100 rpm, the stress concentration in shaft is 49.25 N/mm².

For fluctuating load this cause a crack initiation at the stress concentration point. In this case the same power is transmitted with lesser diameter by keyless coupling. The keyless coupling is operated at same power 24.4 KW and speed is 200 rpm. The obtained torque values are compared with standard torque. In design variant 6 obtained torque value 1807696.9 N-mm is nearer to standard value 1839967.3 N-mm.

Keyless coupling is more suitable compared to key coupling, and diameter of the shaft can be reduced. It provides completely tight fit around shaft no backlash. It can transmit high torque and axial loads. In keyless coupling it can transfer more power when compare to key coupling.

9. FUTURE SCOPE OF THIS WORK

The keyless coupling is most efficient when compared to key coupling. The future works are identified as follows:

- Analysis is carried out by considering different material property, that keyless coupling is made stronger so that it can transmit more power.
- Larger taper angle permits tightening with less displacement along the shaft.
- Full length bushes support the shaft correctly.

REFERENCES

- [1] Fred Seely. Stresses in keyways by photoelastic methods and comparison with numerical solutions. Proc. Soc. Experl Stress Analysis, 1949, 7(2), 141–154.
- [2] RINGFEDER catalog.
- [3] N. L. Pedersen and P. Pedersen. Design of notches and grooves by means of elliptical shapes. Journal of Strain Analysis for Engineering Design, 43(1):1.14, 2008.
- [4] Leven, M. M. Stresses in keyways by photoelastic methods and comparison with numerical solutions. Proc. Soc. Experl Stress Analysis, 1949, 7(2), 141–154.
- [5] Fessler, H., Rogers, C. C., and Stanley, P. Stresses at keyway ends near shoulders. J. Strain Analysis, 1969, 4(4), 267–277.
- [6] .R. E. Peterson. Stress concentration design factors. John Wiley & Sons, inc., New York, 1953. 155 pages
- [7] VARADI, K. POLLER, R.: Analysis of Gear Teeth Contact by the Finite Element Method, ActaTechnica, Academy of Sciences Hungary, Vol. 101, No. 4, 1988.
- [8] Fredrick Lee barber. Keyway stresses when torsional loading is applied by the keys. Expl. Mechanics, 1975, 15(6), 245–248.

International Journal of Recent Research in Civil and Mechanical Engineering (IJRRCME)

Vol. 1, Issue 1, pp: (37-43), Month: April 2014 - September 2014, Available at: www.paperpublications.org

- [9] Filon, L. N. G. On the resistance to torsion of certain forms of shafting, with special reference to the effect of keyways. Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc. Lond. Ser. A, Containing Papers of a Mathematical or Physical Character, 1900, 193, 309–352.
- [10] Norton, R. L. Machine design: an integrated Approach, third edition, 2006 (Pearson Education, Upper Saddle River, NJ).
- [11] Vardi Varghese: Computational Contact Mechanics.
- [12] MOTT, L. c.: Machine Elements in Mechanical Engineering, Merrill, 1990
- [13] Orthwein, W. C. A new key and keyway design. J. Mech Des. Trans. ASME, 1979, 101(2), 338–341.
- [14] Merritt, H. E. The design of cylindrical keys. Machinery (Lond.), 1926, 27(701), 729–732
- [15] H. Okubo, K. Hosono, and K. Sakaki. The stress concentration in keyways when torque is transmitted through keys. Experimental Mechanics, 8(8):375.380, 1968.
- [16] H. Fessler and T. Appavoo. On the effect of key edge shape on keyway edge stresses in shafts in torsion. Journal of Strain Analysis, 24(3):121.125, 1989.
- [17] H. Fessler, C. C. Rogers, and P. Stanley. Stresses at end-milled keyways in plain shafts subjected to tension, bending, and torsion. Journal of Strain Analysis, 4(3):180.189, 1969
- [18] Mr. Bharat Gupta, Mr. Abhishek Choubey and Mr. Gautam V. Varde (2012), Contact Stress Analysis of Spur Gear, International Journal of Engineering Research and Technology, Volume 1, Issue 4, Pages 1-7.
- [19] Shigley, J. E. and Michke, C. R. Mechanical engineering design, sixth edition, 2003 (McGrawHill, Singapore).
- [20] Ali Raad Hassan (2009), Contact Stress Analysis of Spur Gear Teeth pair, World academy of Science, Engineering and Technology, 34, Pages 611-616.
- [21] MECHANICAL ENGINEERING DESIGN [IN SI UNITS] By: JosephShigley, CharlesMischke, Richard Budynas, Keith Nisbett Edition: 8 Pub Date: 12-FEB-08.
- [22] The Effect of Water in Lubricating Oil on Bearing Fatigue Life", Richard Cantley, ASLE Paper 76-AM-713-1, May 1976.
- [23] Ding, Y. Shape optimization of structures: a literature survey. Computers and Struct, 1986, 24(6), 985–1004
- [24] Peterson, R. E. Stress concentration design factors, 1953 (John Wiley, New York)